



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMAR Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/645,254 08/24/2000 Byung Taek Kim CHUNP0155US 8529

7590 06/17/2002

Don W Bulson Esq EXAMINER

Don W Bulson Esq Renner Otto Boisselle & Sklar PLL 1621 Euclid Ave 19th Floor Cleveland, OH 44115

LEE, BENNY T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2817

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Patent and Tri _ nark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 09645254 SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOUKET NO. EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER LUMBER DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined A shortered statutory period for response to this action is set to expire heal Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 days from the date of this letter. Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 3. | Hotics of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 | 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 Part II SUNMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration. 5. Cialms are objected to. _ are subject to restriction or election requirement, 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject 2. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 3. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on. . These drawings age. acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation). 10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been ___ approved by the examiner. ___ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed | Aprol 2002, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474. 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has peen received not been received been flied in parent application, serial no. 11. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in _; filed on _ accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. D Other

10L-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Application/Control Number: 645254

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the replacement paragraph to pages 17,18, 6th line thereof, note that --(see Fig. 6A)-- should still follow "altered" for clarity. Moreover, in figs. 2, 6A, the previously indicated descriptive wording still needs to be described in the specification. Likewise in figs. 4A, 5B, 6A, the aforementioned reference labels need to be explicitly described in the specification description of these drawing figures.

Additionally, newly added reference labels (325a, 325b, 325c) need description relative to fig. 4A and newly added reference labels (310, 320) need description in fig. 6. Furthermore, it is unclear if the amended reference labels in fig. 5B have been correspondingly described in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because of the following: In fig. 3, note that it is again inquired whether the central reference label "114b" should correctly be --114c--?; In fig. 4, note that reference label --203-- needs to be provided so as to be commensurate with the amended description; In fig. 4A, note that present reference label "310" appears that it should correctly be --301-- and reference label --305-- needs to be provided so as to be commensurate with the amended specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following suggestions have been proffered by the examiner as non-limiting changes to the claims, and should be adopted by applicants':

Application/Control Number: 645254

Art Unit: 2817

In claim 1, fourth paragraph, note that --a-- should precede "plurality of resonators" for clarity.

In claim 12, third paragraph, "its internal surface" should be rephrased as --the internal surface thereof-- for clarity.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10; 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zakman in view of McVeety et al (both of record) for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed 1 April 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' have argued that in their invention the "open area" is disposed <u>only</u> with respect to the <u>reception area</u>, and that the unmetallized area in McVeety et al is unspecified as to whether it acts on the transmission or reception area.

Applicants' argument has been noted, but is found not to be commensurate with what is claimed. It should be noted that independent claims 1 & 12 both define the "open area" as being "disposed on at least a part of said side surface ... corresponding to the reception area". This recitation in it's broadest sense certainly would encompass the "reception area", but because of the "at least a part" recitation would not necessary limit such "open area" just to the reception area. In other words, "at least a part ..." certainly would encompass other parts of the side surface (e.g. the transmission side, the input/output). Accordingly, the unspecified area encompassed by

Application/Control Number: 645254

Art Unit: 2817

the unmetallized area of McVeety et al when combined with Zakman obviously would have met the claimed invention when viewed with it's broadest interpretation. Moreover, it should be noted that no where in claims 1 & 12 does the claim explicitly limit the "open area" to only the "reception area". Therefore, the rejection of record is sustained for reasons of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Leepwhose telephone number is (703) 308 4902.

BENNY T. LEE Primary examiner Art Unit 2817

B. Lee

June 14, 2002